



Good morning, Dear Friend,

We are pleased to announce the publication of our latest research book:

“31 FAQs on CBI-ED Nexus for Investigations into Crimes & Proceeds of Crime”

*This book is part of our ongoing **Darshan Mala Series (DMS)**—a special weekly research initiative covering various aspects of Indian laws, enforcement agencies, regulatory authorities, and important legal and regulatory developments.*

The series covers important subjects relating to:

Indian Acts — including the Prevention of Corruption Act, PMLA, FEMA, COFEPOSA, and other important statutes.

Enforcement Agencies — including the ED, DRI, CBI, NIA, SFIO, DGCI, Income Tax Investigation, and other agencies.

Regulatory Authorities — including IFSCA, RBI, SEBI, FIU-IND, NCLT, CVC, IB, R&AW, EOW, and other authorities.

*Over the years, we have published more than **200 research papers and books**, comprising over **30,000 pages of legal and regulatory research**, all made available **free of charge for public reference**.*

*Our lifetime objective is to publish **1,000 research papers and books**, comprising more than **100,000 pages of legal and regulatory research**, and to continue making this research freely available for the benefit of **researchers, professionals, students, and the general public**.*

*We warmly invite you to **explore our publications, review our research, and share your valuable comments, observations, and suggestions**.*

With warm wishes

CA Satish Agarwal

9/14, (First Floor),

East Patel Nagar,

New Delhi - 110008

Phone: Office +911141412139

Mobile +919811081957

Official Mail address: **satishagarwal307@yahoo.com**

Website: **www.femainindia.com**



DARSHAN MALA SERIES (DMS)

31 Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) on the CBI → ED Nexus in the Investigation of Crimes and Proceeds of Crime

The **Darshan Mala Series (DMS)** presents weekly research and updates based on information available in the public domain.

This edition, comprising **31 Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)**, examines the **CBI-ED nexus** in relation to the investigation of crimes and proceeds of crime, including the respective roles, jurisdiction, investigative processes, and areas of interaction between the two agencies.

The Series covers matters reported in the public domain concerning **exclusive and/or designated Special Courts**, proceedings before such Courts, and proceedings relating to adjudication and other judicial or quasi-judicial processes concerning enforcement, investigation, attachment, prosecution, and related matters.

The **Darshan Mala Series** has been initiated with inspiration from the vision of the **Hon'ble Prime Minister of India** to promote greater public awareness and informed discussion on matters relating to **governance, accountability, transparency, administration, enforcement, and the functioning of the justice delivery system**.

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this Series have been compiled **exclusively from information available in the public domain**, including official statements, court records, judicial orders, government publications, media reports, and other publicly accessible sources.

The material is intended for **research, educational, and public-awareness purposes**. Readers are advised to refer to the original statutes, notifications, judicial orders, official records, and other primary sources for authoritative information and to obtain professional advice wherever necessary.

SUBSCRIBE FOR REGULAR UPDATES

You are requested to subscribe to our YouTube channel for quick access to **all articles published by us to date** on various topics, together with regular updates and new publications forming part of the **Darshan Mala Series**.

<https://www.youtube.com/@satishagarwal303>

&

https://femainindia.com/resource/Reserach_Paper.aspx

&

https://taxguru.in/author/satishagarwal307_1957



31 FAQs on CBI → ED nexus for investigations against Crimes & Proceed of crimes

INDEX

S.No	Topics	Page No.
1.	What is CBI → ED nexus for investigations against Crimes & Proceed of crimes?	4
2.	What is tabular format for CBI → ED nexus against investigations?	4
3.	What is flow chart on CBI → ED nexus for investigations?	5
4.	What is best example for CBI → ED nexus against investigations?	6
5.	What is happens when CBI closes the case?	6 & 7
6.	What is best example for CBI → ED reverse nexus against investigations?	7 & 8
7.	What is distinction between CBI and ED for adjudication?	8 & 9
8.	What is flow chart on CBI → ED nexus for investigations and adjudications?	9 & 10
9.	What is CBI's "specific" role for investigations and adjudications?	10
10.	What is ED's "specific" role for investigations and adjudications?	11
11.	What is flow chart for CBI's chargesheet and ED's attachment?	11
12.	Whether ED is 100% depended on CBI's investigation?	11 & 12
13.	Whether CBI and ED are permitted to conduct the parallel investigations?	12
14.	Who is adjudicating authority for CBI and ED?	12 & 13
15.	What are 3 judicial / administrative proceedings for CBI and ED?	13
16.	What are ED's actions when CBI accused is acquitted?	13 & 14
17.	Whether CBI evidence can become ED evidence?	14
18.	What are important criteria for CBI → ED nexus?	14 & 15
19.	What is comparison between CBI, ED, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI and RBI?	15 & 16
20.	What is CBI → ED nexus with RBI / Banks, Income tax, SEBI, DRI, Customs & SFIO?	17

21.	<i>What is importance of predicate offence under PMLA for CBI, DRI, SFIO & SEBI?</i>	<i>18 & 19</i>
22.	<i>What is RBI → ED nexus?</i>	<i>19</i>
23.	<i>What is DRI → ED nexus?</i>	<i>20</i>
24.	<i>What is best example for DRI → ED nexus?</i>	<i>20</i>
25.	<i>What is flow chart for SFIO → ED nexus?</i>	<i>21</i>
26.	<i>What is best example for Income Tax → ED nexus?</i>	<i>21 & 22</i>
27.	<i>What is best example for SEBI → ED nexus?</i>	<i>22</i>
28.	<i>What is specific role for CBI, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI, RBI and ED?</i>	<i>22 & 23</i>
29.	<i>What is common transaction for CBI, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI, RBI and ED?</i>	<i>23 & 24</i>
30.	<i>What is adjudication for CBI, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI, RBI and ED?</i>	<i>24</i>
31.	<i>What is master nexus for CBI, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI, RBI → ED?</i>	<i>24 & 25</i>

Author's Profile for 31 FAQs on CBI → ED nexus for investigations against Crimes & Proceed of crimes



31 FAQs on CBI→ED nexus for investigations against Crimes & Proceed of crimes

(Source of information's used in preparation of weekly updates are taken from public domain)

1. What is CBI→ED nexus for investigations against Crimes & Proceed of crimes?

- (i) The CBI-ED nexus is quite strong, but the **2 agencies investigate "different" legal offences and operate under "different" statutes.**
- (ii) In many cases, the **CBI investigation becomes the foundation for the ED investigation, particularly when the CBI case involves a PMLA scheduled offence and there is an identifiable Proceeds-of-Crime (PoC) trail.**

2. What is tabular format for CBI→ED nexus against investigations

S.No	Subject	CBI	ED
(i)	Offence	To investigates the predicate / scheduled offence	To investigates the money laundering under PMLA, 2002
(ii)	Primarily acts	IPC, 1860 / BNS, 2023, Prevention of Corruption Act (PCA) 1988 and etc.	PMLA, 2002
(iii)	Focus on	How the crime was committed?	What was happened to the PoC?
(iv)	Charge-sheet	Files charge-sheet before the competent court	Files PMLA prosecution complaint before the ED's Special Court
(v)	Establish criminal liability	For the scheduled offence	(a) For money-laundering offence (b) For proceedings relating to the attachment/confiscation of PoC
(vi)	Deals mainly with	Original/predicate crime	PoC and their money laundering offences
(vii)	Example	(a) Bribery (b) Corruption (c) Cheating (d) Criminal conspiracy (e) Etc.	(a) Possessing (b) Concealing (c) Using (d) Projecting PoC as untainted property (e) Claiming PoC as untainted property



3. What is flow chart on CBI→ED nexus for investigations?

- (i) The **Supreme Court** has “expressly” **dealt** with cases **when** the **CBI FIR/charge-sheet constituted** the predicate **offence and** subsequently became the **basis for** the **ED’s PMLA investigation**.
- (ii) **Section 5** of PMLA, 2002 “specifically” **permits** provisional **attachment when** the **ED has reason to believe** that a person **possesses PoC and** that they may be dealt with so as to **frustrate confiscation** proceedings.
- (iii) However, the **ED** is “not” **subordinate to CBI**
- (iv) **Flow chart on CBI-ED nexus for investigations**

CBI investigation



Scheduled / predicate offence established or alleged



Generation of PoC



ED records Enforcement Case Information Report (**ECIR**)



ED investigates laundering of the PoC



Tracing / identification of PoC



Provisional attachment under Section 5 PMLA, 2002



Adjudicating Authority **for confirmation of attachment**



ED’s Special Court **for prosecution/confiscation proceedings**



4. What is best example for CBI→ED nexus against investigations?

- The **ED** does “not” merely **act** as an **extension of CBI**.
- The **ED investigation** is **independently** under PMLA, 2002
- (i) **The CBI’s role**
 - To **investigate the cheating when** a person **cheated** the **Govt.** and generated **INR 100 crore**.
- (ii) **The ED’s role**
 - (a) To **investigate what happened to that INR 100 crore?**
 - (b) To **investigate was it transferred through shell companies**, converted into property, **routed abroad**, layered through bank accounts, or **projected as legitimate money?**
- (iii) **The CBI→ED nexus**
 - (a) Hence, even though the **CBI’s scheduled offence** may **trigger** or provide the factual **foundation for the ED**
 - (b) The **ED** has **to establish** the **ingredients of money-laundering** under PMLA, 2002.
- (iv) **The Supreme Court recognition**
 - The Supreme Court has **recognised** precisely this **relationship**, in one case, the Court recorded **that** the **CBI offences became** the “predicate offence” **leading to investigation by the ED** under PMLA, 2002.

5. What is happens when CBI closes the case?

- (i) The **Supreme Court** has **considered** situations **when** the **CBI FIR** concerning the scheduled offence was subsequently **closed**, **beside** the **ED** had already **registered an ECIR and initiated PMLA proceedings**.
- (ii) The precise **consequence depends on** the nature and **stage of** the **CBI proceedings** and the judicial orders involved.



- (iii) *The **CBI closure report** / acquittal / quashing of the scheduled offence can **have major implications** for the corresponding **ED proceedings**.*
- (iv) *Normally **3 actions** are **involved in the investigations** commencing **from CBI's FIR** and ending **to ED's attachments** like:*
 - (a) ***CBI's FIR***
 - (b) ***ED's investigation***
 - (c) ***ED's attachment***
- (v) ***ED's investigations** shall be badly **affected due to CBI closure report** accepted by CBI's competent court **because** the existence of **PoC is intrinsically linked to criminal activity** relating to a scheduled offence.*
- (vi) ***However**, the legal **consequence** must **be examined based on** the exact nature of the **CBI order**:*
 - (a) ***Closure report** merely **filed***
 - (b) ***Closure report** **accepted by CBI's competent court***
 - (c) ***Discharge***
 - (d) ***Quashing of FIR***
 - (e) ***Acquittal***
 - (f) ***Conviction***
 - (g) ***Partial acquittal***
 - (h) ***Conviction of some accused** but acquittal of others*

6. What is best example for CBI → ED reverse nexus against investigations?

- (i) *The **reverse nexus** is also **possible** therefore the **relationship** is "not" **always CBI → ED**.*
- (ii) ***Example for CBI → ED reverse nexus***



- (iii) During a PMLA investigation, **ED** may **discover** an independent **offence**, these are **falling** within **CBI's jurisdiction** and **ED** may **share** information/**material** with **CBI** for:
- (a) **Fraud**
 - (b) **Forgery**
 - (c) **Corruption**
 - (d) **Cheating**
 - (e) **Criminal**
 - (f) **Conspiracy**
 - (g) **Etc.**
- (iv) **The Supreme Court's role**
- There are also recent instances when the **Supreme Court** has **instructed** / ordered **for coordination between CBI, ED, SEBI and SFIO**, including **exchange of documents** and complaints **between agencies**.

7. What is distinction between CBI and ED for adjudication?

- (i) There is **actually** "no common" **CBI-ED adjudication mechanism**.
- (ii) The **CBI investigates** but it does "not" normally **have** an adjudicating authority **comparable to the PMLA Adjudicating Authority**
- (iii) The **ED's adjudication mechanism** / flow chart

ED Investigation



Provisional Attachment



Adjudicating Authority



Special Court



- (iv) The Adjudicating **Authority under PMLA examines** whether the provisional **attachment** under **Section 5** of PMLA, 2002 **should be confirmed**.
- (v) The **Supreme Court record** itself illustrates the process where ED's **Section 5** of PMLA, 2002 **attachment** was **placed** before the Adjudicating Authority **for confirmation**.
- (vi) The **CBI establishes**/investigates the underlying **criminality**
- (vii) The **ED investigates** the financial consequences and **laundering of the PoC** generated **from** that **criminality**
- (viii) The **PMLA Adjudicating Authority** "separately" **adjudicates** the **legality of** ED's provisional **attachment**

8. What is flow chart on CBI→ED nexus for investigations and adjudications?

CBI Registration of FIR/RC



CBI Investigation of Scheduled/Predicate Offence



CBI collects evidence of crime and financial transactions



CBI Charge-sheet / Final report before the competent court



ED examines whether crime generated "proceeds of crime"



ED registers/opens PMLA investigation Enforcement Case Information Report (**ECIR**)



ED search / seizure / summons / statements / bank & financial investigation



ED Identification & tracing of PoC



ED Provisional Attachment under Section 5 PMLA, 2002





ED Complaint before PMLA Adjudicating Authority



ED Adjudicating Authority issues notice under Section 8 PMLA, 2002



ED Adjudicating Authority Confirmation / non-confirmation of attachment



ED's Special Court



Trial of money-laundering offence and eventual confiscation/restoration as provided by PMLA, 2002

9. What is CBI's "specific" role for investigations and adjudications?

- (i) The **CBI** ordinarily **investigates** the **underlying** criminal **offence** like:
 - (a) Bribery/Corruption
 - (b) Cheating
 - (c) Criminal Conspiracy
 - (d) Fraud
 - (e) Forgery
 - (f) Bank Fraud
 - (g) Criminal Breach of Trust
 - (h) Offences Under the Prevention of Corruption Act (PCA) 1988
 - (i) Other Offences which are included in the Schedule to PMLA, 2002.
- (ii) The **CBI** is required **to investigate** the fraud **when** a person or group of persons alleged fraudulently **obtained money from a bank**.
- (iii) The **CBI** is required **to investigate** that **who committed fraud**
- (iv) The **CBI** is required **to investigate** that **how offence committed**.
- (v) The **CBI** is required **to file chargesheet before the competent court**.



10. What is ED's "specific" role for investigations and adjudications?

- (i) The **ED** is required **to investigate** the **underlying** money laundering **offence**
- (ii) The **ED** is required **to investigate** that **what happened of money generated from schedule offence**
- (iii) The **ED** is required **to investigate**:
 - (a) Whether **money transferred to shell companies?**
 - (b) Whether **money used to acquire immovable properties?**
 - (c) Whether **money transferred to outside India?**
 - (d) Whether **money transferred to related entities?**
 - (e) Whether **money converted into apparently legitimate investments?**

11. What is flow chart for CBI's chargesheet and ED's attachment?

CBI FIR/RC



CBI investigation



CBI report/charge-sheet concerning scheduled offence



ED identifies PoC



ED attachment

12. Whether ED is 100% depended on CBI's investigation?

No

- (i) The **ED** is **not 100% depended** on **CBI's investigation**
- (ii) The **ED** is **not to investigate** the **offence on behalf of CBI**
- (iii) The **ED** is **to independently investigates** for:



- (a) *Proceeds of Crime (PoC)*
- (b) *Generation of PoC*
- (c) *Possession of PoC*
- (d) *Concealment of PoC*
- (e) *Acquisition of PoC*
- (f) *Use of PoC*
- (g) *Layering of PoC*
- (h) *Transfer of PoC*
- (i) *Projection/Claiming as Untainted Property of PoC*
- (j) *The persons involved in the laundering process.*

13. Whether CBI and ED are permitted to conduct the parallel investigations?

Yes

- (i) *The CBI is not required to complete 100% investigations and to file chargesheet before the competent court when ED is required to commence investigation under PMLA, 2002*
- (ii) *The CBI investigation and the ED investigation can proceed simultaneously, although each agency must remain within its statutory jurisdiction.*
- (iii) *The CBI and the ED's parallel investigations do not mean that the 2 agencies have identical jurisdiction.*

14. Who is adjudicating authority for CBI and ED?

- (i) *The CBI does not have a PMLA-type Adjudicating Authority.*
- (ii) *The CBI file its chargesheet / Final Report to competent Court*
- (iii) *The ED approach for provisional attachment before adjudicating authority*
- (iv) *The Adjudicating Authority is required to examine under Section 5 of PMLA 2002 whether provisionally attachment is required to continue or to revoke / cancel*



(v) The Adjudicating **Authority** is required **to issue** Show Cause Notice (SCN) **to the person** concerned under **section 8** of PMLA 2002 **whether** the **property** should be declared **involved in money laundering and for** explaining about:

- (a) Source of income
- (b) Earnings
- (c) Assets

15. What are 3 judicial / administrative proceedings for CBI and ED?

S.No	Stage	Authority	Principal question
(i)	CBI criminal investigation	CBI	Whether scheduled / predicate offence was committed?
(ii)	PMLA attachment adjudication	ED's Adjudicating Authority	Whether the property involved in money-laundering and is the attachment liable to be confirmed?
(iii)	PMLA criminal trial	ED's Special Court	Whether the offence of money-laundering has been committed?

16. What are ED's actions when CBI accused is acquitted?

- (i) Normally **3 actions** are **involved in the investigations** commencing **from CBI's** FIR and ending **to CBI's** acquitted like:
 - (a) **CBI's investigations**
 - (b) **CBI's findings** for scheduled **offence**
 - (c) **CBI's competent court** has **acquitted** the **accused**
- (ii) **ED's investigations** shall be badly **affected due to accused acquitted** by CBI's competent court **because** the **existence** of PMLA 2002 **is intrinsically linked to criminal activity** relating to a scheduled offence.
- (iii) **However, the legal consequence must be examined based on** the exact nature of the **CBI's competent court order**:
 - (a) **Why and how** the **acquittal** occurred?
 - (b) What is **finality of the order**?
 - (c) What are precise **findings concerning** the scheduled **offence**?
 - (d) What are **PoC**?



- (iv) The **CBI acquittal** “not” **automatically terminates ED proceedings**, therefore it needed to **be examined whether** the judicial **determination** has **extinguished the scheduled offence** which is the **source of** the alleged **PoC** and, consequently, **whether** the statutory **foundation for the PMLA proceedings survives**

17. Whether CBI evidence can become ED evidence?

Yes

- (i) The **documents collected by the CBI** can be extremely **important to ED** like:
- (a) FIR
 - (b) Search/seizure records
 - (c) Bank statements
 - (d) Forensic reports
 - (e) Company records
 - (f) Statements
 - (g) Emails
 - (h) Accounting records
 - (i) Property documents
 - (j) Charge-sheet
 - (k) Witness statements
 - (l) Documentary evidence establishing the scheduled offence
- (ii) The **ED can independently collect** and rely upon relevant material in its PMLA investigation.
- (iii) **Conversely, ED’s financial tracing may provide information useful to CBI.**
- (iv) This creates a **2-way evidentiary** and intelligence nexus, “without” **making** one agency **subordinate to the other**.

18. What are important criteria for CBI → ED nexus?

- (i) **Jurisdictional nexus**
- The **CBI investigates the scheduled offence** and the **ED investigates money-laundering**.



(ii) **Factual nexus**

- The **CBI** and **ED** both may examine the “same” **transaction**, persons, companies and **documents**.

(iii) **Evidentiary nexus**

- The **material** generated in **1 investigation** can become **relevant** to the other.

(iv) **Procedural nexus**

- The **progress** of the scheduled-offence **proceedings** can have **consequences** for **PMLA attachment/prosecution**.

(v) **Adjudicatory nexus**

- The **CBI's** criminal **proceedings** and **ED's** attachment **adjudication** /PMLA trial are **separate proceedings** before different authorities.
- **Conclusion**
 - (a) The **CBI** establishes the “crime”
 - (b) The **ED** follows the “money”
 - (c) The Adjudicating **Authority** examines the attachment
 - (d) The **ED's** Special **Court** ultimately **determines** criminal **liability** for money-laundering.

19. What is comparison between CBI, ED, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI and RBI?

S.No	Agency	Principal statute / framework	Primary function	Predicate / underlying offence?	Financial investigation	Attachment / freezing power	Adjudication / final forum
(i)	CBI	(a) DSPE Act (b) PCA (c) BNS (d) Other laws	(a) Corruption (b) Fraud (c) Conspiracy (d) other offences within jurisdiction	Yes , where the offence is a PMLA scheduled offence	Yes , incidental to criminal investigation	Court-directed / statutory seizure no general PMLA attachment power	The competent Court



(ii)	ED	(a) PMLA, 2002 (b) FEMA, 1999	(a) Money laundering (b) Foreign-exchange violations	Yes, for PMLA, scheduled offence is the predicate offence	Core function	(a) PMLA Section 5 (b) FEMA Section 37 (c) Related powers	(a) PMLA Adjudicating Authority (b) ED's Special Court (c) Appellate forums
(iii)	DRI	(a) Customs Act, 1962 (b) NDPS Act where applicable	(a) Smuggling (b) Customs-duty evasion (c) Prohibited/ (d) restricted imports & exports	Can be, where the Customs/ other offence is a PMLA scheduled offence	Yes	Customs seizure/ confiscation mechanisms	(a) Customs Adjudicating Authority (b) CESTAT (c) Court
(iv)	SFIO	Companies Act, 2013	Investigation of serious corporate fraud	Can be, where underlying offence is scheduled under PMLA	Yes, corporate/financial fraud	Powers under Companies Act, including statutory search/seizure	(a) Special Court (b) NCLT/ NCLAT depending on issue
(v)	Income Tax Deptt.	Income-tax Act, 1961	(a) Tax assessment (b) Undisclosed income (c) Tax evasion	Can generate information/ evidence relevant to PMLA	Yes	Provisional attachment / recovery mechanisms under Income-tax Act	Income-tax Authorities CIT(A) ITAT High Court Supreme Court
(vi)	SEBI	(a) SEBI Act, 1992 (b) Securities laws	(a) Securities-market violations (b) Insider trading (c) Manipulation and securities fraud	Can provide predicate facts certain offences may be PMLA scheduled offences	Yes	Freezing of securities/accos unts and statutory regulatory measures	SEBI adjudication SAT Supreme Court
(vii)	RBI	(a) RBI Act (b) FEMA framework (c) Banking Regulation Act	(a) Monetary/ banking regulation (b) FEMA administration (c) Regulatory supervision	Generally regulatory/ referral role , rather than predicate-crime investigation	Regulatory financial intelligence	Regulatory restrictions; FEMA matters may be referred to ED	(a) RBI / competent authority (b) FEMA adjudication principally through ED



20. What is CBI→ED nexus with RBI / Banks, Income tax, SEBI, DRI, Customs & SFIO?

RBI / Banks



Suspicious / irregular financial transaction

Income Tax



*Undisclosed income / tax irregularity **and** “criminal” offence*

SEBI



Market manipulation / securities fraud

DRI



Customs / smuggling / trade-related offence

SFIO



Serious corporate fraud under section 447 of Companies Act, 2013

CBI



Corruption / fraud / conspiracy / criminal offence

All of these may generate information relevant to:

ED



PMLA / FEMA investigation

- *The **ED** frequently **becomes** the **financial-investigation** convergence **point for information** originating **from** several **regulators and** investigating **agencies**.*



21. What is importance of predicate offence under PMLA for CBI, DRI, SFIO & SEBI?

- The **underlying** “criminal” activity is treated a **predicate / schedule offence** when it’s covered within PMLA Schedule like:
 - (i) **CBI → ED nexus**
 - (a) When CBI investigates corruption/fraud and the offence is a scheduled offence under PMLA schedule and generates identifiable **PoC** the information / FIR / **charge-sheet** relating to the scheduled offence can **become** the **basis for ED to investigate** the offence of **money-laundering** under **Section 3** of the PMLA.
 - (b) The **ED** investigation **focuses on the laundering**, possession, concealment, acquisition, use, or **projection of the PoC**, **subject to** the statutory requirements of the **PMLA, 2002**.
 - (ii) **DRI → ED nexus**
 - (a) When DRI detects serious customs-related offence and the offence is a scheduled offence under PMLA schedule and generates identifiable **PoC** the information/FIR/ **charge-sheet** relating to the scheduled offence can **become** the **basis for ED to investigate** the offence of **money-laundering** under **Section 3** of the PMLA
 - (b) The **ED** investigation **focuses on the laundering**, possession, concealment, acquisition, use, or **projection of the PoC**, **subject to** the statutory requirements of the **PMLA, 2002**.
 - (iii) **SFIO → ED nexus**
 - (a) When SFIO discovers serious corporate fraud and the offence is a scheduled offence under PMLA schedule and generates identifiable **PoC** the information/FIR/ **charge-sheet** relating to the scheduled offence can **become** the **basis for ED to investigate** the offence of **money-laundering** under **Section 3** of the PMLA



- (b) The **ED** investigation **focuses on the laundering**, possession, concealment, acquisition, use, or **projection of the PoC**, **subject to** the statutory requirements of the **PMLA, 2002**.
- (iv) **SEBI → ED**
- (a) When **SEBI** detects securities fraud/manipulation and the offence is a scheduled offence under **PMLA schedule** and generates identifiable **PoC** the information/FIR/ **charge-sheet** relating to the scheduled offence can **become the basis for ED to investigate** the offence of money-laundering under **Section 3** of the **PMLA**
- (b) The **ED** investigation **focuses on the laundering**, possession, concealment, acquisition, use, or **projection of the PoC**, **subject to** the statutory requirements of the **PMLA, 2002**.

22. What is **RBI → ED** nexus?

- (i) **RBI** is primarily **a regulator**, “not” a conventional criminal **investigating agency** like **CBI** or **ED**. The **FEMA**-related violations, **information can flow**:
- RBI regulatory examination
↓
Identification of suspected **FEMA** violation
↓
Referral / information to **ED**
↓
ED investigation under **FEMA**
- (ii) The **ED** is the principal **agency** responsible **for investigation** and **enforcement** of **FEMA, 1999**, therefore **RBI → ED** is principally a **regulatory-to-enforcement** nexus.
- (iii) Hence **RBI → ED** nexus is **different from CBI → ED** = predicate-crime-to-money-laundering **nexus**.



23. What is DRI → ED nexus?

- (i) The **DRI** is particularly important in customs cases and **focuses on:**
- (a) Smuggling
 - (b) Customs-duty evasion
 - (c) Undervaluation
 - (d) Misdeclaration
 - (e) Prohibited/restricted goods
 - (f) Import/export fraud.
- (ii) The **ED** is particularly important in certain cases and **focuses on:**
- (a) Foreign exchange
 - (b) Overseas remittances
 - (c) Hawala
 - (d) Layering
 - (e) Foreign assets
 - (f) Proceeds of crime (PoC)
 - (g) Money laundering.

24. What is best example for DRI → ED nexus?

- A fake import declared at INR 100 crore
↓
DRI to discover **whether actual** value was INR 250 crore
↓
INR 150 crore differential **routed through overseas entities**
↓
DRI **investigates** customs **offence**
- The **ED investigates** the **financial/foreign-exchange** and PMLA dimensions **when statutory conditions** are met.



25. What is flow chart for SFIO → ED nexus?

- The SFIO investigates the corporate fraud.
↓
Company
↓
Fake invoices
↓
Circular transactions
↓
Related-party entities
↓
Diversion of funds
↓
Layering through subsidiaries
↓
ED can then investigate:
↓
Where did the diverted money go?
- The **corporate fraud** cases can **produce** a particularly extensive **SFIO-ED overlap**, while the **legal** mandates **remain distinct**.

26. What is best example for Income Tax → ED nexus?

- The **Income Tax investigation** discovers
↓
Undisclosed income INR 200 crore and the investigation reveal:
 - (a) Accommodation entries
 - (b) Shell companies
 - (c) Bogus invoices
 - (d) Unexplained foreign remittances



(e) Benami-type structures

(f) Layering of funds



The Income Tax Department (ITD) deals with the tax consequences.



The ED may examine whether the underlying “criminal” offence and financial trail satisfy PMLA, 2002 requirements.

27. What is best example for SEBI → ED nexus?

- A securities-market manipulation case:



Promoter / broker / intermediary



Manipulation / fraudulent trading



Artificial price increase



Sale of shares



INR 500 crore realised



The SEBI may investigate the securities violation.



- The ED may subsequently examine whether the resulting property constitutes PoC and whether there has been money laundering under PMLA, 2002
- The SEBI determines securities-law violations and the ED determines the PMLA, 2002 consequences when the statutory ingredients are met.

28. What is specific role for CBI, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI, RBI and ED?

- (i) The CBI's role

- Who committed the crime?



(ii) **The DRI's role**

- What was **smuggled** / mis-declared and what **customs offence** occurred?

(iii) **The SFIO's role**

- How was the **company/corporate structure** **used to commit the fraud**?

(iv) **The Income Tax's role**

- What **income** was **concealed and** what is "criminal" **offence conducted**?

(v) **The SEBI's role**

- Was the **securities** market **manipulated or defrauded**?

(vi) **The RBI's role**

- Was there a **regulatory/foreign-exchange/banking violation**?

(vii) **The ED's role**

- Where is the **money/property** and has it been **laundered** or dealt with **in violation** of FEMA, 1999?

29. What is common transaction for CBI, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI, RBI and ED?

- **1 transaction** can legitimately **generate "multiple" proceedings** like INR 1,000 crore corporate **fraud**



The **SFIO** examines **for corporate fraud** investigation



The **CBI** examines **for criminal conspiracy/cheating/corruption**, within jurisdiction



The **Income Tax** examines **for undisclosed income/tax consequences and "criminal" offence**



The **SEBI** examines **for securities-market violations**



The **ED** examines **for PMLA/FEMA financial trail**



The **RBI** examines **for banking/foreign-exchange regulatory issues**



The **DRI** examines **for import/export/customs violations are involved**

30. What is adjudication for CBI, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI, RBI and ED?

- An **adjudication against “criminal” investigation** by **CBI, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI, RBI or ED**



The investigation



The criminal prosecution **before the competent court**, when applicable.



An administrative/regulatory adjudication



The Income Tax authorities, SEBI, Customs authorities or PMLA Adjudicating Authority



A statutory adjudication



An appeal to designated appellate authority, tribunal or court.

31. What is master nexus for CBI, DRI, SFIO, Income Tax, SEBI, RBI → ED?

RBI / Banks



Income Tax **evasion and “criminal” offence**



SEBI



DRI → CBI / SFIO → ED





Other law-enforcement/regulatory agencies



ED's investigation



Attachment / seizure under applicable law



PMLA Adjudicating Authority



ED's Special Court



Appellate / Constitutional Courts



Published By



CA. Satish Agarwal

B.com (Hons.) FCA

Practicing Chartered Accountant Since 1985

+919811081957

9/14 (First Floor) East Patel Nagar, New Delhi- 110008

Email: satishagarwal307@yahoo.com

Website: www.femainindia.com

◆ Disclaimer

- 1.** *The contents of these Weekly Updates are provided solely for informational purposes. Neither these Weekly Updates nor the information contained herein constitutes a contract or forms the basis of any contract.*
- 2.** *The material contained in these Weekly Updates does not constitute professional advice and should not be relied upon as a substitute for obtaining appropriate professional advice before acting on any matter.*
- 3.** *Every reasonable care has been taken in the preparation of these Weekly Updates to ensure the accuracy of the information contained herein as of the date of publication.*
- 4.** *Satish Agarwal assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be found in these Weekly Updates despite all reasonable precautions taken in their preparation.*
- 5.** *Satish Agarwal shall not be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, or consequential damages arising out of, or in any way connected with, the use of these Weekly Updates or the information contained herein.*

Aug-2026



+91-9811081957

New Delhi